**Difference Between LoadRunner VS J Meter**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| **Apache JMeter** | **Micro Focus LoadRunner** | |
| The product is entirely free. You do not require being concerned about licensing costs. | This solution is better oriented to big, enterprise-oriented companies. | |
| The solution provides various plug-INS and a constantly developing community that is frequently offering new plug-ins and traits. | A solution is a user-friendly tool, particularly when you contrast it to a competitor such as BlazeMeter. | |
| Database Testing, API testing, and MQ tests can be done with no difficulty. | This tool has a lot of abilities and is the most matured software testing tool of its kind in the marketplace. | |
| The user-friendliness is the solution’s robust trait. Plus, the capability to generate test cases easily is also very good. It is simple to straight ramp up on the solution. | The most valuable part of the Micro Focus LoadRunner is the way you can scale the basic tests effortlessly. | |
| The great trait for us is the obtainable information on the forums and THE capacity to converse and get answers from the folks that are engaged in using this tool. | LoadRunner Is very user-friendly. | |
| Apache JMeter is simple to use for a user who doesn’t have extra knowledge of programming or specific languages. | The most valuable trait everybody likes is the ability to effortlessly generate virtual users and reporting. | |
| The most precious traits are the integration with a tool like Jenkins as well as the reporting. |  | |